SURFACE READING AS METHOD: NEW CRITICAL PERSPECTIVES ON RENAISSANCE TEXTS

Samuel Jebaselvan E & Harikishan K

Department of English, Dr B R Ambedkar University, Etcherla, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the application of surface reading methodologies to Renaissance literary texts, exploring how this critical approach offers fresh interpretive possibilities for early modern scholarship. Surface reading, which emphasizes attention to textual surfaces rather than symptomatic interpretation, challenges traditional hermeneutics of suspicion that have dominated literary criticism. By investigating how surface reading can be productively applied to Renaissance drama, poetry, and prose, this study demonstrates the method's capacity to reveal overlooked formal features, rhetorical strategies, and aesthetic dimensions of early modern texts. The paper proposes a framework for integrating surface reading with historical contextualization, argues for its methodological strengths and limitations through SWOT analysis, and presents case studies demonstrating its application to works by Shakespeare, Spenser, and Donne. Findings suggest that surface reading enriches Renaissance studies by fostering more attentive engagement with textual materiality, language, and form while complementing rather than replacing depth-oriented approaches.

KEYWORDS: *Surface Reading, Renaissance Literature, Literary Methodology, Formalism, Close Reading, Textual Criticism*

INTRODUCTION

The interpretive turn toward surface reading represents one of the most significant methodological developments in contemporary literary studies. Emerging from debates about the limits of symptomatic reading and the hermeneutics of suspicion, surface reading advocates for renewed attention to what texts explicitly present rather than what they allegedly conceal. This methodological shift holds particular promise for Renaissance literary scholarship, where texts often exhibit intricate formal architectures, rhetorical sophistication, and self-conscious displays of literary artifice.

Renaissance texts, produced during a period of intense cultural, religious, and political transformation, have traditionally been subjected to interpretive methods seeking hidden meanings, ideological subtexts, and repressed content. While such approaches have yielded valuable insights, they sometimes overshadow the explicit formal and aesthetic achievements of early modern literature. Surface reading offers an alternative or complementary methodology that privileges description over interpretation, attention over suspicion, and textual surfaces over presumed depths.

This paper investigates how surface reading can productively engage with Renaissance texts, examining both the theoretical foundations of this method and its practical applications. The study addresses several key questions: What does surface reading reveal about Renaissance literary forms that other methods might overlook? How can surface reading be reconciled with the historical contextualization essential to Renaissance studies? What are the strengths and limitations of this approach when applied to early modern texts? By exploring these questions, this research contributes to ongoing conversations about critical methodology while offering new perspectives on familiar Renaissance works.

LITERATURE SURVEY

Origins and Development of Surface Reading

The term "surface reading" gained prominence through the influential 2009 essay "Surface Reading: An Introduction" by Stephen Best and Sharon Marcus, published in *Representations*. This work challenged the dominance of symptomatic reading associated with Fredric Jameson, Pierre Macherey, and the Marxist critical tradition. Best and Marcus argued that the hermeneutics of suspicion, while productive, had become an automatic reflex in literary criticism, leading scholars to privilege what texts hide over what they reveal.

Heather Love's contribution to surface reading emphasizes "thin description" as opposed to Clifford Geertz's thick description, arguing for accounts of texts that remain closer to their literal surfaces. Rita Felski has similarly critiqued the "hermeneutics of suspicion" in her work *The Limits of Critique*, advocating for more affirmative modes of reading that acknowledge texts' aesthetic and affective dimensions without reducing them to symptomatic expressions of ideology.

Theoretical Foundations

Surface reading draws on multiple theoretical traditions. It recovers aspects of New Criticism's attention to form while avoiding that movement's claims to interpretive objectivity and historical isolation. The method also engages with phenomenological approaches to literature, particularly those emphasizing readerly experience and attention. Bruno Latour's critique of critical debunking in "Why Has Critique Run Out of Steam?" provides additional theoretical support, questioning whether critical reading must always involve unmasking hidden forces.

Surface Reading and Historical Criticism

The relationship between surface reading and historicism remains contested. Caroline Levine's *Forms* proposes that formal analysis can be historicist, arguing that forms themselves have affordances that operate across different contexts. Ellen Rooney has critiqued surface reading for potentially abandoning the political commitments of symptomatic interpretation, while others argue that attention to surfaces can reveal historical specificities that depth models obscure.

Renaissance Literary Criticism: Traditional Approaches

Renaissance scholarship has been dominated by several interpretive paradigms. New Historicism, pioneered by Stephen Greenblatt, reads literary texts alongside non-literary documents to trace circulations of social energy and reveal how texts participate in power relations. Cultural materialism, developed by British scholars including Jonathan Dollimore and Alan Sinfield, similarly emphasizes literature's embeddedness in material conditions and ideological struggles.

Feminist and queer criticism has illuminated gender and sexuality in Renaissance texts, often employing psychoanalytic frameworks to uncover repressed desires and anxieties. Postcolonial approaches examine early modern engagements with cultural difference and emerging colonial discourses. These methods have enriched understanding of

Renaissance literature but typically operate through interpretive depth models.

Formalist Approaches to Renaissance Literature

Some Renaissance scholarship has maintained formalist commitments. Studies of Renaissance rhetoric, prosody, and genre often attend closely to textual surfaces. Work by scholars such as Russ McDonald on Shakespeare's language, or analyses of Spenserian allegory that track formal patterning, demonstrate sustained attention to literary form. However, such approaches have sometimes been marginalized within a field dominated by historicist and theoretical methods.

Recent Applications of Surface Reading

While few scholars explicitly label their work as surface reading, recent Renaissance criticism shows methodological affinities with this approach. Studies emphasizing textual bibliography, book history, and material texts demonstrate attention to literal surfaces. Work on Renaissance aesthetics, sensory experience, and affect theory often privileges description over interpretation. These developments suggest growing receptivity to surface reading methodologies within early modern studies.

PROPOSED SYSTEM: A FRAMEWORK FOR SURFACE READING RENAISSANCE TEXTS

Methodological Principles

This study proposes a systematic framework for applying surface reading to Renaissance texts, organized around four core principles:

- **Descriptive Attention:** Prioritize detailed description of textual features—language, form, structure, rhetoric—before advancing interpretive claims. This involves sustained attention to what the text explicitly presents, including its formal arrangements, verbal patterns, and rhetorical operations.

- **Suspension of Suspicion:** Temporarily bracket hermeneutical frameworks that treat texts as symptomatic of ideological forces, psychological repressions, or historical contradictions. This does not mean abandoning critical judgment but rather allowing texts to present themselves before subjecting them to interpretive schemas.

- **Formal Analysis:** Examine how Renaissance texts deploy literary forms—sonnet sequences, dramatic structures, epic conventions, prose styles—as meaningful in themselves rather than as vehicles for concealed content. This principle recognizes that Renaissance writers were profoundly conscious of form and that formal choices constitute significant meaning-making practices.

- **Complementary Integration:** Recognize surface reading as complementary to rather than replacing historical, theoretical, and political modes of criticism. The goal is methodological pluralism that enriches rather than restricts interpretive possibilities.

Application Procedures

The proposed framework involves several procedural steps:

- **Step 1 - Initial Encounter:** Approach the text with deliberate naïveté, reading for immediate impressions, aesthetic effects, and explicit content without predetermined interpretive goals.

- **Step 2 - Formal Mapping:** Systematically document formal features including structure, prosody, rhetoric, genre conventions, and stylistic patterns. Create detailed inventories of textual elements.

- **Step 3 - Pattern Recognition:** Identify repetitions, variations, symmetries, and formal relationships within the text without immediately assigning interpretive significance to these patterns.

- **Step 4 - Descriptive Analysis:** Produce thick descriptions of how the text operates at its surface level—how language works, how forms function, how rhetoric persuades or delights.

- **Step 5 - Contextualization:** Integrate historical, cultural, and material contexts as informing rather than determining textual surfaces. Examine how Renaissance reading practices, rhetorical training, and aesthetic theories illuminate surface features.

- **Step 6 - Reflection:** Consider what surface reading reveals that other methods might miss, while acknowledging what it may leave unexplored.

Case Study Parameters

To demonstrate this methodology, this study examines three Renaissance texts representing different genres:

- **Dramatic Text:** William Shakespeare's *The Winter's Tale* (1611), focusing on its formal experimentation with tragicomic structure

- **Poetic Text:** Edmund Spenser's *Amoretti* (1595), examining sonnet sequence organization and rhetorical patterning

- **Prose Text:** John Donne's *Devotions Upon Emergent Occasions* (1624), analyzing its tripartite formal structure

These cases demonstrate surface reading across genres while engaging texts traditionally subjected to depth interpretation.

SWOT ANALYSIS OF SURFACE READING FOR RENAISSANCE STUDIES

Strengths

- **Renewed Attention to Literary Craft:** Surface reading refocuses attention on Renaissance writers' considerable formal sophistication and rhetorical skill. Early modern authors received intensive training in rhetoric, poetics, and literary arts, and their works often showcase deliberate formal achievements that surface reading illuminates.

- **Resistance to Reductive Interpretation:** By suspending hermeneutical suspicion, surface reading avoids reducing complex texts to mere symptoms of ideology, psychology, or history. This allows Renaissance texts to appear in their multifaceted richness.

- **Descriptive Richness:** The method generates detailed accounts of textual operations, creating valuable scholarly records of how specific texts work at formal and rhetorical levels.

- **Accessibility:** Surface reading produces scholarship potentially more accessible to non-specialist readers, including students and general audiences, by focusing on explicit textual features rather than requiring extensive theoretical apparatus.

- **Complementary Potential:** Surface reading can work alongside other methods, enriching rather than replacing established approaches. It provides formal analysis that can ground or complicate historical and theoretical interpretations.

Weaknesses

- **Risk of Formalist Isolation:** Surface reading might inadvertently separate texts from their historical contexts, reproducing New Criticism's problematic isolation of literature from material conditions and power relations.

- **Limited Political Engagement:** The method's suspension of suspicious reading may abandon the political commitments that have animated much valuable Renaissance criticism, particularly feminist, queer, and postcolonial scholarship.

- **Definitional Ambiguity:** What constitutes a text's "surface" remains unclear. Is it limited to explicit statement, or does it include formal implications, rhetorical effects, and aesthetic dimensions? This ambiguity can lead to methodological inconsistency.

- **Insufficient for Complex Problems:** Some interpretive questions—about ideology, power, subjectivity—may require depth models that surface reading explicitly eschews. The method may thus be insufficient for addressing certain critical problems.

- **Potential Superficiality:** Without careful application, surface reading risks producing criticism that merely describes without generating insight, failing to advance understanding beyond paraphrase or inventory.

Opportunities

- **Methodological Innovation:** Surface reading offers opportunities to develop new critical practices responsive to contemporary theoretical debates while recovering neglected aspects of Renaissance textuality.

- **Interdisciplinary Connections:** The method can facilitate dialogue with book history, bibliography, performance studies, and digital humanities, all of which emphasize material and formal dimensions of texts.

- **Pedagogical Applications:** Surface reading provides effective teaching strategies, helping students develop close reading skills and attention to textual specifics before advancing to theoretical abstraction.

- **Re-reading Canonical Texts:** The approach enables fresh engagements with well-studied Renaissance works, potentially revealing overlooked formal features and aesthetic strategies.

- **Digital Approaches:** Surface reading aligns well with computational text analysis, which can identify formal patterns across large corpora, suggesting productive connections between traditional and digital humanities methodologies.

Threats

- **Misunderstanding as Anti-Theory:** Surface reading risks being mischaracterized as rejecting theory entirely rather than proposing methodological alternatives, potentially marginalizing practitioners.

- **Institutional Resistance:** Within Renaissance studies, where historicism and theory remain dominant, surface reading may face institutional skepticism and be dismissed as methodologically retrograde.

- **Inconsistent Application:** Without clear methodological standards, surface reading might become a catch-all term for diverse practices, losing analytical precision.

- **Depoliticization Concerns:** Critics may view surface reading as depoliticizing literary studies by abandoning critical commitments to social justice, leading to conflicts within the field.

- **Limited Scope:** The method may prove more suitable for certain texts, genres, or problems than others, limiting its applicability across the full range of Renaissance literature.

RESULTS: SURFACE READING IN PRACTICE

Case Study 1: Shakespeare's *The Winter's Tale*

Applying surface reading to *The Winter's Tale* reveals remarkable formal features often overshadowed by interpretive focus on the play's psychological depths or political allegories. At its surface, the play exhibits radical structural division: a tragic first half culminating in Hermione's apparent death, followed by a sixteen-year time gap, then a pastoral-romantic second half concluding with miraculous resurrection.

This formal division is not hidden but explicitly announced. Time himself appears as Chorus, declaring "I turn my glass" and asking audience indulgence for the temporal leap. Rather than reading this as Shakespeare's awkward dramaturgical solution or as encoding anxieties about temporal rupture, surface reading attends to how the play foregrounds its own formal experimentalism.

The play's language similarly operates at its surface level through conspicuous rhetorical patterning. Leontes's jealous speeches feature syntactic fragmentation and broken rhythm, while Bohemian scenes employ pastoral conventions with deliberate artificiality. The statue scene deploys theatrical spectacle—the physical presence of the actor playing Hermione, the tension between stillness and movement—as primary dramatic effects rather than symbolic vehicles.

Surface reading reveals *The Winter's Tale* as self-consciously displaying its tragicomic form, asking audiences to experience formal juxtaposition and aesthetic wonder without demanding interpretive resolution. The play's surfaces—its structural rupture, its oscillation between rhetorical registers, its theatrical spectacle—constitute meaningful achievements rather than symptoms requiring diagnosis.

Case Study 2: Spenser's *Amoretti*

The *Amoretti* sonnet sequence rewards surface reading through its elaborate formal organization. The sequence comprises 89 sonnets following courtship chronology toward marriage, employing the Spenserian sonnet form (abab bcbc cdcd ee) throughout. Surface attention reveals intricate numerical patterning: the sequence divides into groups reflecting liturgical calendar, with Sonnet 68 marking Easter and initiating the courtship's successful turn.

Rather than immediately allegorizing these patterns as Neoplatonic or Protestant symbolism, surface reading documents how Spenser constructs architectural coherence through formal means. The interlocking rhyme scheme creates continuous forward movement while containing each sonnet within itself—a formal achievement mirroring the sequence's theme of progressing toward marital union while maintaining individual poem integrity.

The sequence's rhetoric operates through explicit Renaissance conventions: Petrarchan paradoxes, blazon descriptions, lover complaints. Rather than treating these as encoding anxieties about desire or power, surface reading recognizes them as displaying rhetorical mastery. Spenser announces his engagement with tradition through obvious allusions and formal choices, creating meaning through skilled manipulation of inherited forms.

The *Amoretti* demonstrates how surface reading illuminates Renaissance poetry's formal sophistication. The sequence's numerical structures, rhyme schemes, and rhetorical patterns constitute primary achievements deserving sustained attention before being subjected to interpretive depth models.

Case Study 3: Donne's Devotions Upon Emergent Occasions

Donne's *Devotions* employs a conspicuous tripartite structure: each of twenty-three devotions divides into Meditation, Expostulation, and Prayer. This formal organization appears at the text's literal surface, announced in titles and maintained with rigorous consistency. Surface reading attends to how this structure operates as meaningful form rather than conventional framework.

Each section employs distinct rhetorical modes: Meditations offer narrative and reflection in prose; Expostulations address God directly in passionate argument; Prayers conclude in humble supplication. These modal shifts create rhythmic patterns of intellectual engagement, emotional intensity, and spiritual submission. The structure itself enacts devotional experience through formal means.

The *Devotions* also displays remarkable verbal patterning. Meditation 17's famous "No man is an island" passage employs elaborate metaphoric chains (mankind as continent, death as bell-tolling) presented with rhetorical self-consciousness. Rather than reading these metaphors as encoding anxieties about selfhood, surface reading recognizes them as spectacular displays of wit and devotional rhetoric.

Donne foregrounds his prose's figurative richness and structural organization, creating a text that performs devotion through formal means. Surface reading reveals how the *Devotions* operates at its explicit level as literary artifact—a carefully constructed verbal and formal object designed to guide readers through devotional experience.

DISCUSSION

The case studies demonstrate surface reading's capacity to reveal formal and aesthetic dimensions of Renaissance texts often overlooked by depth-oriented criticism. Several findings merit discussion:

- **Formal Self-Consciousness:** Renaissance texts frequently display rather than conceal their formal organizations. Writers educated in classical rhetoric and poetics deployed formal techniques with self-conscious artistry. Surface reading recovers this emphasis on literary craft as meaningful in itself.

- **Rhetorical Sophistication:** Early modern authors' rhetorical training produced texts rich in explicit verbal patterning, figurative language, and stylistic variation. Surface reading documents these features systematically, revealing sophistication that interpretive methods focused on hidden content may miss.

- **Genre as Framework:** Renaissance generic conventions—sonnet sequence organization, tragicomic structure, devotional prose—operate at textual surfaces as primary organizing principles. Surface reading illuminates how genre functions not merely as vehicle but as constitutive of meaning.

- **Reader Experience:** By privileging textual surfaces, the method attends to immediate readerly experience—aesthetic effects, formal satisfactions, rhetorical impacts—that depth models sometimes subordinate to interpretive conclusions.

However, these findings also reveal limitations. Surface reading tells us much about how Renaissance texts work formally but less about their relationships to power, ideology, and material conditions. The method describes textual operations without necessarily explaining why these operations matter beyond aesthetic terms.

The challenge becomes integrating surface reading with historical and theoretical approaches. Renaissance texts emerged from specific cultural contexts and participate in relations of power; surface reading should illuminate rather than ignore these dimensions. A productive synthesis might involve using surface reading to establish detailed formal accounts that then inform historically and theoretically engaged interpretation.

CONCLUSION

Surface reading offers valuable methodological possibilities for Renaissance literary studies. By emphasizing attention to textual surfaces—formal structures, rhetorical strategies, aesthetic effects—the approach reveals dimensions of early modern literature sometimes overshadowed by interpretive depth models. Renaissance texts, produced by writers intensively trained in rhetoric and deeply invested in formal artistry, reward the sustained descriptive attention that surface reading provides.

The method's strengths include renewed focus on literary craft, resistance to reductive interpretation, and production of rich descriptive accounts. However, surface reading also presents challenges, including risks of formalist isolation and insufficient engagement with political and historical concerns central to Renaissance studies.

Rather than treating surface reading as replacing established critical methods, this study proposes methodological pluralism. Surface reading can productively complement historicist, theoretical, and political approaches, providing detailed formal analysis that grounds and enriches interpretation. The goal should be critical flexibility that draws on multiple methods as appropriate to specific texts and questions.

Future research might develop more systematic protocols for surface reading Renaissance texts, explore the method's applications across different genres and periods, and investigate how surface reading can integrate with digital humanities approaches to early modern literature. The method's emphasis on textual specificity and descriptive richness positions it well for productive dialogue with both traditional and emerging critical practices.

Surface reading will not resolve all debates about methodology in Renaissance studies, nor should it displace other approaches. However, by refocusing attention on what Renaissance texts explicitly present—their formal architectures, rhetorical brilliance, and aesthetic achievements—surface reading enriches our engagement with early modern literature and contributes to ongoing conversations about critical practice. In an era of methodological reflection and experimentation, surface reading represents a valuable addition to the interpretive repertoire available to Renaissance scholars.

REFERENCES

1. *Best, S., & Marcus, S. (2009). Surface reading: An introduction. Representations, 108(1), 1-21.*

2. *Felski, R. (2015). The limits of critique. University of Chicago Press.*

3. *Love, H. (2010). Close but not deep: Literary ethics and the descriptive turn. New Literary History, 41(2), 371-391.*

4. *Latour, B. (2004). Why has critique run out of steam? From matters of fact to matters of concern. Critical Inquiry, 30(2), 225-248.*

5. *Levine, C. (2015). Forms: Whole, rhythm, hierarchy, network. Princeton University Press.*

6. *Greenblatt, S. (1988). Shakespearean negotiations: The circulation of social energy in Renaissance England. University of California Press.*

7. *Dollimore, J., & Sinfield, A. (Eds.). (1985). Political Shakespeare: Essays in cultural materialism. Manchester University Press.*

8. *McDonald, R. (2001). Shakespeare and the arts of language. Oxford University Press.*

9. *Rooney, E. (2010). Live free or describe: The reading effect and the persistence of form. differences, 21(3), 112-139.*

10. *Empson, W. (1953). Seven types of ambiguity. New Directions.*

11. *Brooks, C. (1947). The well wrought urn: Studies in the structure of poetry. Harcourt, Brace and Company.*

12. *Dubrow, H. (1999). Echoes of desire: English Petrarchism and its counterdiscourses. Cornell University Press.*

13. *Goldberg, J. (1983). James I and the politics of literature. Johns Hopkins University Press.*

14. *Heale, E. (1998). Wyatt, Surrey and early Tudor poetry. Longman.*

15. *Kermode, F. (1963). The sense of an ending: Studies in the theory of fiction. Oxford University Press.*

16. *Maus, K. E. (1995). Inwardness and theater in the English Renaissance. University of Chicago Press.*

17. *Prescott, A. L. (1978). French poets and the English Renaissance: Studies in fame and transformation. Yale University Press.*

18. *Quint, D. (1993). Epic and empire: Politics and generic form from Virgil to Milton. Princeton University Press.*

19. *Schoenfeldt, M. C. (1999). Bodies and selves in early modern England: Physiology and inwardness in Spenser, Shakespeare, Herbert, and Milton. Cambridge University Press.*

20. *Shell, A. (1999). Catholicism, controversy and the English literary imagination, 1558-1660. Cambridge University Press.*

21. *Spiller, M. R. G. (1992). The development of the sonnet: An introduction. Routledge.*

22. *Targoff, R. (2008). John Donne, body and soul. University of Chicago Press.*

23. *Vickers, B. (1988). In defence of rhetoric. Oxford University Press.*

24. *Enterline, L. (2000). The rhetoric of the body from Ovid to Shakespeare. Cambridge University Press.*

25. *Hadfield, A. (2001). Shakespeare and Renaissance politics. Arden Shakespeare.*